

# How does Energy Minimization Improve Recognizing Human Poses for Safe Human-Robot Collaboration? Vivek Sharma<sup>o†\*</sup>, Frank Dittrich<sup>†</sup>, Şule Yildirim-Yayilgan<sup>\*</sup>, Heinz Wörn<sup>†</sup>

<sup>†</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology \*Gjøvik University College \*KU Leuven, ESAT-PSI, iMinds Email: {vivek.sharma, sule.yayilgan}@hig.no {frank.dittrich, woern}@kit.edu



# **Problem Statement**

- → In the industrial scenario humans and robots often share the same workspace posing a lot of threats to human safety issues.
- $\rightarrow$  We focus on the:
  - Intuitive and natural human-robot interaction.
  - Safety considerations and measures in a shared work environment.
  - The realization of cooperative process.
  - The workflow optimization.
- → We use a random decision forest (RDF) and a conditional random field (CRF) for pixelwise object class labeling of human body-parts using depth measurements obtained from KINECT RGB-D ceiling sensor.

# **Proposed Approach**

→ The EM or CRF energy is defined as:

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i \in v} \varphi_i(x_i) + \sum_{i \in v, j \in \eta} \varphi_{i,j}(x_i, x_j)$$

- $\rightarrow$  Unary term ( $\varphi_i(x_i)$ ) is the likelihood of an object label assigned to pixel *i*, obtained from the RDF classifier.
- $\rightarrow$  Pairwise smooth term  $(\varphi_{i,i}(x_i, x_j))$  is in the form of Potts model [3] which can be efficiently minimized by  $\alpha$ -expansion.
- $\rightarrow \alpha$ -Expansion [3] built on graph cuts are meant for solving multi-labeling problems.
- → We use energy minimization (EM) method in order to improve recognition of human body parts.

### **Related Work**

- → Shotton et al. in [1], propose a segmentation approach purely based on pixelwise classification using boosted classifier.
- → Shotton et al. in [2], demonstrate the application of segmentation of human body-parts for human pose segmentation in real-time using decision forests.
- → Sharma et al. in [4], propose an optimized training strategy for pixelwise segmentation.

# **Data Collection**



#### **Results and Conclusion**

Head Body UArm LArm Hand Legs Avg **RDF**<sub>mAR</sub> **0.780** 0.920 0.764 0.730 0.703 0.722 0.845 *RDF<sub>mAP</sub>* **0.569** 0.930 0.656 0.681 0.430 0.491 0.230 *EM<sub>mAR</sub>* **0.843** 0.946 0.835 0.849 0.651 0.791 0.987 *EM<sub>mAP</sub>* **0.725** 0.975 0.696 0.741 0.777 0.802 0.361

Table 1: mAR and mAP measures obtained for each of RDF and EM methods, using a confusion matrix and test real-world data

- → We generate qualitative (see Figure.4) and quantitative (see Table.1) results in our tests with RDF and EM methods.
- $\rightarrow$  EM improves the performance measures by approximately 12% in mean average-recall (mAR) and 15% in mean average precision (mAP) over the RDF performance measures.
- → Quantitative results appear more meaningful for practicability review of Safe Human-Robot Collaboration.
- $\rightarrow$  In [2], number of training frames (F) = 300K/tree with pixel-count-per object class (PC) = 2000 takes a lot of training time, has a high computational cost and has large memory consumption.
- $\rightarrow$  In our case, F=1600/tree with PC=300 is sufficient for producing almost comparable results, with reduced computational expense and training time.

Figure 1: Synthetic human data generation. (From Left to Right) Multi-sensor KINECT skeleton tracking setup at our robotic workplace. Real-world human skeleton tracking using KINECT, skeletal joints of interest of real-world human, 3D human skeleton modeled on a set of 173 spheres, ground truth labeling of depth data and corresponding depth data (when KINECT sensor is above the human model at a height of 3.5 meters).

- → Human body-parts: head, body, upper-arm, lower-arm, hand and legs.
- -> Poses and shape: sitting, standing, walking, working, dancing, swinging, boxing, tilting, bending, bowing, and stretching with combinations of angled arms, single and both arms and other combinations.
- → Human height range: 160-190 cm.



→ Our work can distinguish subtle changes such as crossed-arms which was not possible in [2].



Figure 4: Prediction results based on real-world human test depth data. The first column shows the test real-world depth frames, the second and third column show the predictions obtained from RDFs and EM method.

|--|--|--|--|--|

Figure 2: Synthetic human data for training. Top: Ground truth labels of depth data. Bottom: Corresponding synthetic depth data.

#### Proposed System



Figure 3: Schematic layout of the segmentation system.

Ackowledgements

This work is supported by the BMBF funded project AMIKA and the EU project ROVINA.

#### References

[1] Shotton, J., Winn, J., Rother, C., and Criminisi, A. Textonboost for image understanding: Multi-class object recognition and segmentation by jointly modeling texture, layout, and context. Int. J. Comput. Vision, 2009.

[2] Shotton, J., Girshick, R. B., Fitzgibbon, A. W., Sharp, T., Cook, M., Finocchio, M., Moore, R., Kohli, P., Criminisi, A., Kipman, A. and Blake, A. Efficient human pose estimation from single depth images. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., 2013.

[3] Boykov, Y., Veksler, O., and Zabih, R. Fast approximate energy minimization via graph cuts. IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell., 2001.

[4] Dittrich, F., Sharma, V., Woern, H. and Yayilgan, S. Pixelwise Object Class Segmentation based on Synthetic Data using an Optimized Training Strategy. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Networks & Soft Computing, 2014.

http://www.kit.edu/; http://www.hig.no/; http://www.kuleuven.be/